

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,943 03/31/2004		03/31/2004	Jason Sin Hin Lo	1004P99US01	4920
20779	7590	06/30/2006		EXAMINER	
SHAPIRO	O COHEN		GRAY, JILL M		
P.O. BOX STATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON KIP	6P1	1774		
CANADA				DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/812,943	LO ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Jill M. Gray	1774
? Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHOF WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO pe - Failure t Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The rich of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)	nce this application is in condition for allowards and in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition	of Claims		
4a 5)☐ Cl 6)☐ Cl 7)☐ Cl	laim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application.  Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed.  aim(s) is/are allowed.  aim(s) is/are rejected.  aim(s) is/are objected to.  aim(s) 1-54 are subject to restriction and/or one	wn from consideration.	
Application	Papers		
10)∐ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accoplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ition is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority document  Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/812,943 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-41 and 50-54, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 384.
  - II. Claims 42-44, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 33.
  - III. Claims 45-49, drawn to a coated fiber, classified in class 428, subclass 375.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as pultrusion.
- 3. Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/812,943

Art Unit: 1774

806.05(g)). In this case the product can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as an immersion bath in a pultrusion process.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1774

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M. Gray whose telephone number is 571-272-1524. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1774

lilly. Gray Promary Examiner Art Unit 1774

jmg